

# United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 10/19/2006

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/531,975	04/20/2005	Stein Kuiper	NL 021095	5806	
24737 7	7590 10/19/2006		EXAMINER		
	PHILIPS INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY & STANDARDS P.O. BOX 3001			LESTER, EVELYN A	
2.2.	MANOR, NY 10510		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2873		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/531,975	KUIPER ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Evelyn A. Lester	2873				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	the mailing date of this communication.  D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on						
	_· action is non-final.					
·	, <del>-</del>					
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
4) Claim(s) <u>1-24</u> is/are pending in the application.	· _					
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
Claim(s) 1-23 is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-23</u> is/are railowed.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	s election requirement					
,	election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.					
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>20 April 2005</u> is/are: a)[	$\square$ accepted or b) $oxtime$ objected to ${ t t}$	by the Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the o	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti		• •				
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	•					
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priori application from the International Bureau</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of</li> </ul>	s have been received. s have been received in Application ity documents have been received (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No d in this National Stage				
Attachment(s)  1)   Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview Summary	(PTO-413)				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	te				
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 2-7-06.	5)  Notice of Informal Pa	atent Application				

Application/Control Number: 10/531,975

Art Unit: 2873

# Page 2

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## **Drawings**

1. Figures 1-3 appear that they should be designated by a legend such as --Prior Art-- because only that which is old is illustrated. It is noted that Figures 1-3 only depict a single first fluid-second fluid interface, as opposed to the at least two of the claimed invention. See MPEP § 608.02(g). Corrected drawings in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The replacement sheet(s) should be labeled "Replacement Sheet" in the page header (as per 37 CFR 1.84(c)) so as not to obstruct any portion of the drawing figures. If the changes are not accepted by the Examiner, the Applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance. If the Applicant disagrees with this assessment of the Figures 1-3, please provide an explanation as to why they are not "Prior Art."

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

2. Claim 24 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Application/Control Number: 10/531,975

Art Unit: 2873

Claim 24 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being incomplete for omitting essential structural cooperative relationships of elements, such omission amounting to a gap between the necessary structural connections. See MPEP § 2172.01. The omitted structural cooperative relationships are: The claimed invention of claim 24 recites an apparatus having three separate means elements, wherein a camera of claim 1 is included. There is no structural relationship provided between and for each of the means elements, as well as no structural relationship provided between the means elements and the camera of claim 1.

Further, regarding claim 24, the word "means" is preceded by the word(s) "input" and "information processing" and "display" in an attempt to use a "means" clause to recite a claim element as a means for performing a specified function. However, since no function is specified by the word(s) preceding "means," it is impossible to determine the equivalents of the element, as required by 35 U.S.C. 112, sixth paragraph. See *Ex parte Klumb*, 159 USPQ 694 (Bd. App. 1967). In the instant case, "input" does not make it clear what is being inputted and where is whatever inputted; "information processing" does not provide adequate function because it is not clear what information is actually processed, or where the information may be coming from; and "display" does not provide adequate function describing what or how or where "display" takes place. Also the camera being "included" is an inadequate recitation as to how or where the camera is included in the apparatus in relation to the other means elements. So the inadequate functional language makes the claim indefinite, as well as the lack of structural

Art Unit: 2873

relationships between the recited elements. A structural relationship could have been possibly provided if the function of each means was clearly indicated.

### Allowable Subject Matter

3. Claims 1-23 are allowed.

The prior art does not show or fairly suggest the claimed subject matter of a zoom lens having the claimed structure and claimed limitations, wherein a rejection under 35 USC 102 or 103 would be improper. Please particularly note the combination of claimed elements and claimed limitations, including as recited in the independent claim, claim 1, wherein the device includes a first fluid and a second fluid having different refractive indices and has at least two first fluid-second fluid interfaces.

Therefore, the claimed subject matter is considered to be allowable as being novel and nonobvious over the prior art.

#### Conclusion

4. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Onuki et al (U.S. Patent 6,449,081 B1) is an example of an optical element having two fluids, however, it lacks the at least two interfaces between the two fluids, as recited in the claimed invention.

Application/Control Number: 10/531,975

Art Unit: 2873

Page 5

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Evelyn A. Lester whose telephone number is (571) 272-2332. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F, subject to an increased flex schedule.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ricky L. Mack can be reached on (571) 272-2333. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Evelyn A. Lester' Primary Examiner Art Unit 2873